

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Introduction

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead or public agency that approves or carries out a project for which an Mitigated Negative Declaration has been certified which identifies one or more significant adverse environmental effects and where findings with respect to changes or alterations in the project have been made, to adopt a "...reporting or monitoring program for the changes to the project which it has adopted or made a condition of project approval in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment" (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21081, 21081.6).

A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) is required to ensure that adopted mitigation measures are successfully implemented for the Cottonwood Collection Project (Project). The City of Moreno Valley is the Lead Agency for the Project and is responsible for implementation of the MMRP. This report describes the MMRP for the Project and identifies the parties that will be responsible for monitoring implementation of the individual mitigation measures in the MMRP.

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

The MMRP for the Project will be active through all phases of the Project, including design, construction, and operation. The attached table identifies the mitigation program required to be implemented by the City of Moreno Valley for the Cottonwood Collection Project. The table identifies the mitigation measures required by the City to mitigate or avoid significant adverse impacts associated with the implementation of the project, the timing of implementation, and the responsible party or parties for monitoring compliance.

The MMRP also includes a column that will be used by the compliance monitor (individual responsible for monitoring compliance) to document when implementation of the measure is completed. As individual Plan, Program, Policies; and mitigation measures are completed, the compliance monitor will sign and date the MMRP, indicating that the required actions have been completed.

This page intentionally left blank.

**TABLE 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
COTTONWOOD COLLECTION PROJECT**

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
MM BIO-1 Payment of Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Mitigation Fees. Prior to issuance of a grading or building permit, the Project applicant shall be required to pay relevant MSHCP mitigation fees per the Final Mitigation Fee Nexus Report. These fees will be determined in consultation with the Riverside Conservation Authority based on final Project classification and impacts.	Payment of Fees. Prior to issuance of a building permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	
MM BIO-2 Burrowing Owl Preconstruction Survey. Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the Project Applicant shall conduct a pre-construction take avoidance survey for burrowing owl within 30 days of initiating construction per section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP. If burrowing owls are observed to occupy the Project site and/or adjacent areas during take avoidance surveys or incidentally during construction, the City of Moreno Valley Planning Department will be notified, and avoidance measures may be implemented during the breeding season (March 1 through August 31). If burrowing owls are present during the non-breeding season (September 1 through February 28), burrowing owl exclusion measures may be implemented in accordance with the MSHCP.	Submittal of pre-activity field survey results report. Prior to any ground disturbance or issuance of a grading permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	
MM BIO-3 Nesting Bird Survey. To the extent feasible, conduct vegetation removal outside of the nesting bird season (generally between March 1 and August 31). If vegetation removal is required during the nesting bird season, conduct take avoidance surveys for nesting birds within 100-feet of areas proposed for vegetation removal. Surveys should be conducted by a qualified biologist(s) within three days of vegetation removal. If active nests are observed, a qualified biologist will determine appropriate minimum disturbance buffers or other adaptive mitigation techniques (e.g., biological monitoring of active nests during construction-related activities, staggered schedules, etc.) to ensure that impacts to nesting birds are avoided until the nest is no longer active.	In construction plans and specifications. Prior to issuance of a grading permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
MM BIO-4 Jurisdictional Waters. Impacts to Non-Wetland Waters of the United States require a Section 404 permit from the USACE under the federal Clean Water Act. Impacts to Non-Wetland Waters of the State require a Waste Discharge Requirement (WDR) or Section 401 permit from the RWQCB under the state Clean Water Act. A 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be obtained from the CDFW for the proposed impacts to 1.10 acres of CDFW jurisdiction. A MSHCP DBESP shall be prepared for impacts to 1.10 acres of riverine and riparian resources. In addition, the Project shall purchase offsite mitigation at a 2:1 ratio from an agency-approved mitigation bank or conduct offsite restoration within existing conservation lands to accommodate the impacts to the 1.10 acres of resources. Proof of compliance shall be provided to the City of Moreno Valley Planning Division prior to the issuance of a grading permit.	In construction plans and specifications. Prior to issuance of a grading permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	
CULTURAL RESOURCES			
MM CUL-1 Archaeological Monitoring Condition of Approval. At least thirty days prior to issuance of any grading permit, the developer shall prepare a cultural resources management plan and retain a qualified archaeologist, provide a letter identifying the name and qualifications of the archaeologist to the Planning Division for approval, to monitor all ground disturbing activities up to 5 feet below ground surface in an effort to identify any unknown archaeological resources and to evaluate and recommend appropriate actions for any archaeological deposits exposed by construction activity. At least thirty days prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that contact has been established with the appropriate Native American Tribe(s), providing notification of grading, excavation and the proposed monitoring program and to coordinate with the City and Tribe(s) to develop a cultural resources treatment and monitoring agreement. The agreement shall address treatment of known cultural resources, the designation, responsibilities and participation of Tribal monitors during grading, excavation and ground disturbing activities; project grading and development scheduling;	In construction plans and specifications. During construction activities. Prior to issuance of a grading permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
terms of compensation; and treatment and final disposition of any cultural resources, sacred sites, and human remains discovered on the site. A report documenting the proposed methodology for grading monitoring shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Division prior to issuance of any grading permit. The monitoring archaeologist shall be empowered to stop and redirect grading in the vicinity of an exposed archaeological deposit until that deposit can be fully evaluated. The archaeologist shall consult with affected Tribe(s) to evaluate any archaeological resources discovered on the project site. Tribal monitors shall be allowed to monitor all grading, excavation and groundbreaking activities, and shall also have authority to stop and redirect grading activities in consultation with the project archaeologist.			
MM CUL-2 Inadvertent Discoveries. If potential historic, archaeological, Native American cultural resources or paleontological resources are uncovered during excavation or construction activities at the project site, work in the affected area within 100 feet of the uncovered resource must cease immediately and a qualified person (meeting the Secretary of the Interior's standards (36CFR61)) shall be consulted by the applicant to evaluate the find, and as appropriate recommend alternative measures to avoid, minimize or mitigate negative effects on the historic, prehistoric, or paleontological resource. Determinations and recommendations by the consultant shall be immediately submitted to the Planning Division for consideration and implemented as deemed appropriate by the Community Development Director, in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and any and all affected Native American Tribes before any further work commences in the affected area.	In construction plans and specifications. During construction activities.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	
MM CUL-3 Human Remains. If human remains are discovered during grading and other construction excavation, no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made necessary findings as to origin. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are potentially Native American, the California Native American Heritage Commission shall be notified within 5-days of the published finding to be given a reasonable opportunity to identify the "most likely descendant." The "most likely descendant" shall then make recommendations and engage in consultations concerning the treatment of the	In construction plans and specifications. During construction activities.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
remains (California Public Resources Code 5097.98). (GP Objective 23.3, CEQA).			
GEOLOGY AND SOILS			
MM PAL-1 Paleontological Monitoring. Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, a paleontologist shall prepare a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Plan (PRIMP) for submittal and review by the City. Implementation of the PRIMP will ensure that adverse impacts to potentially significant paleontological resources are mitigated to a level less than significant/ The PRIMP shall follow the outline below: 1. Monitoring of mass grading and excavation activities in areas identified as likely to contain paleontological resources shall be performed by a qualified paleontologist or paleontological monitor. The PRIMP shall stipulate that monitoring will be conducted either full or part time at the determination of the paleontologist, based upon the identification of undisturbed sediments of Pleistocene very old alluvial fan deposits ("Qvofa"). Monitoring of Holocene young sandy alluvial fan deposits ("Qyfa") is not recommended; however, these deposits are likely relatively thin and overlie Pleistocene very old alluvial fan deposits. Therefore, monitoring in areas mapped as young sandy alluvial fan deposits may commence when those deposits are graded away and the very old alluvial fan deposits become exposed. The Project paleontologist is responsible to periodically visit the property during the initial stages of grading to identify the Pleistocene deposits and direct the initiation of monitoring. 2. Paleontological monitors shall be equipped to salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays. The monitor must be empowered to temporarily halt or divert equipment to allow removal of abundant or large specimens in a timely manner. The monitor shall notify the Project	In construction plans and specifications. Prior to issuance of a grading permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
paleontologist, who will then notify the concerned parties of the discovery. Monitoring may be reduced if the potentially fossiliferous units are not present in the subsurface, or, if present, are determined upon exposure and examination by qualified paleontological personnel to have low potential to contain fossil resources. 3. Fossils shall be collected and placed in cardboard flats or plastic buckets and identified by field number, collector, and date collected. Notes shall be taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated, and the fossils are removed to a safe place. On mass grading projects, discovered fossil sites shall be protected by flagging to prevent them from being over-run by earthmovers (scrapers) before salvage begins. Fossils shall be collected in a similar manner, with notes and photographs being taken before removing the fossils. Precise location of the site shall be determined with the use of handheld GPS units. If the site involves remains from a large terrestrial vertebrate, such as large bone(s) or a mammoth tusk, that is/are too large to be easily removed by a single monitor, a fossil recovery crew shall excavate around the find, encase the find within a plaster and burlap jacket, and remove it after the plaster is set. For large fossils, use of the contractor's construction equipment may be solicited to help remove the jacket to a safe location. 4. Isolated fossils shall be collected by hand, wrapped in paper, and placed in temporary collecting flats or five-gallon buckets. Notes shall be taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which shall be photographed before it shall be vacated and the fossils are removed to a safe place.			

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
5. Particularly small invertebrate fossils typically represent multiple specimens of a limited number of organisms, and a scientifically suitable sample can be obtained from one to several five-gallon buckets of fossiliferous sediment. If it is possible to dry screen the sediment in the field, a concentrated sample may consist of one or two buckets of material. For vertebrate fossils, the test is usually the observed presence of small pieces of bones within the sediments. If present, as many as 20 to 40 five-gallon buckets of sediment can be collected and returned to a separate facility to wet-screen the sediment. 6. In accordance with the “Microfossil Salvage” section of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology guidelines (2010:7), bulk sampling and screening of fine-grained sedimentary deposits (including carbonate-rich paleosols) must be performed if the deposits are identified to possess indications of producing fossil “microvertebrates” to test the feasibility of the deposit to yield fossil bones and teeth. 7. In the laboratory, individual fossils are cleaned of extraneous matrix, any breaks are repaired, and the specimen, if needed, is stabilized by soaking in an archivally approved acrylic hardener (e.g., a solution of acetone and Paraloid B-72). 8. Recovered specimens are prepared to a point of identification and permanent preservation (not display), including screen-washing sediments to recover small invertebrates and vertebrates. Preparation of individual vertebrate fossils is often more time-consuming than for accumulations of invertebrate fossils. 9. Identification and curation of specimens into a professional, accredited public museum repository			

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
with a commitment to archival conservation and permanent retrievable storage (e.g., the Western Science Center) shall be conducted. The paleontological program should include a written repository agreement prior to the initiation of mitigation activities. Prior to curation, the lead agency (e.g., the City of Moreno Valley) will be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material. 10. A final report of findings and significance will be prepared, including lists of all fossils recovered and necessary maps and graphics to accurately record their original location(s). The report, when submitted to, and accepted by, the appropriate lead agency, will signify satisfactory completion of the Project program to mitigate impacts to any potential nonrenewable paleontological resources (i.e., fossils) that might have been lost or otherwise adversely affected without such a program in place. 11. Decisions regarding the intensity of the MMRP will be made by the Project paleontologist based on the significance of the paleontological resources and their biostratigraphic, biochronologic, paleoecologic, taphonomic, and taxonomic attributes, not upon the ability of a Project proponent to fund the MMRP.			
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
See MM BIO-4, as discussed in Section IV, Biology	In construction plans and specifications. Prior to issuance of a building permit.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	

Mitigation Measure	Action and Timing	Responsible for Verifying Compliance	Date Completed and Initials
TRIBAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES			
See MM CUL-1 through MM-3, as discussed in Section V, Cultural Resources.	In construction plans and specifications. During construction activities.	City of Moreno Valley Planning Division; Applicant/Developer	